Lecture 4.8 Identification of Closed Loop Systems

# System Model

System:

$$y(t) = G_s(q^{-1})u(t) + H_s(q^{-1})e(t)$$

$$u(t) = -F(q^{-1})y(t) + L(q^{-1})v(t)$$

- The input u(t) is determined through feedback.
- $F(q^{-1})$  and  $L(q^{-1})$  are called regulators.
- The signal v(t) can be a reference signal, or noise entering the regulator.

Why?

Why is closed loop identification of interest?

- Many systems have feedback.
- The open loop system is unstable.
- Feedback is required due to safety reasons.

## What Happens in a Closed-loop Experiment?

- The input u(t) depends on the output y(t) (dependence between u(t) and e(t)).
- The aim with control (feedback) is to minimize the deviation between y(t) and the reference value v(t). Good control implies a small value of u(t).
- System identification requires good excitation, implying in some sense large variations in u(t). Hence, there is a conflict with the previous aspect.
- The frequency contents of the input is limited by the true system.

## The Closed-loop Behavior

Open-loop system:

$$y(t) = G_s(q^{-1})u(t) + H_s(q^{-1})e(t)$$

$$u(t) = -F(q^{-1})y(t) + L(q^{-1})v(t)$$

Closed-loop system (omitting  $q^{-1}$ ):

$$y(t) = (I + G_s F)^{-1} \Big( G_s L v(t) + H_s e(t) \Big)$$

$$u(t) = \left[ I - F(1 + G_s F)^{-1} G_s \right] Lv(t) - F(I + G_s F)^{-1} H_s e(t)$$

# Some Assumptions

- 1. The open loop system is strictly proper. y(t) depends only on past input values u(s), s < t.
- 2. The closed loop system is asymptotically stable.
- 3. The external signal v(t) is stationary and persistently exciting of sufficient order.
- 4. The external signal v(t) and the disturbance e(s) are independent for all t and s.

#### Use of Prediction Error Methods

- In most cases it is not necessary to assume that the external input v(t) is measurable.
- Gives statistically efficient estimates under mild conditions.
- Computationally demanding.

Notation:  $\hat{G}$  means  $G(q^{-1}, \hat{\theta})$ .

# Different Approaches

- Direct identification. The existence of possible feedback is neglected. The system is treated as an open loop system.
- Indirect identification. It is assumed that v(t) is measurable and the feedback law is known. First the closed loop is identified. Then the open loop is determined from the known regulators and the identified closed loop.
- Joint identification. The data u(t) and y(t) are treated as the outputs of a multivariable system driven by white noise. The multivariable system is identified.

#### Direct Identification

Model used for prediction:

$$y(t) = \hat{G}u(t) + \hat{H}e(t)$$
$$Ee^{2}(t) = \hat{\lambda}^{2}$$

Data Used:  $\{y(t), u(t)\}_{t=1}^{N}$ 

Goal: Estimate (SISO-case)

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} V_N(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$V_N(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varepsilon^2(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \varepsilon(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{H}^{-1}[y(t) - \hat{G}u(t)]$$

Question: Identifiability? Desired solution:

$$\hat{G} \equiv G_s \quad \hat{H} \equiv H_s$$

## Consistency?

Analyze the asymptotic cost function:

$$V(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} V_N(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E\varepsilon^2(t)$$

- Will  $\hat{G} \equiv G_s$  and  $\hat{H} \equiv H_s$  be a global minimum to  $V(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  (system identifiable)?
- Is the solution  $\hat{G} \equiv G_s$  and  $\hat{H} \equiv H_s$  unique (consistency)?

#### The General Case

- The desired solutions  $\hat{H} = H_s$  and  $\hat{G} = G_s$  will be a global minimum of  $V(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .
- Unique global minimum is necessary for parameter identifiability (consistency). Consistency is assured by:
  - Using an external input v(t).
  - Using a regulator  $F(q^{-1})$  that shifts between different settings during the experiment.

#### Indirect Identification

- Two step approach:
  - **Step 1** Identify the closed loop system using v(t) as input and y(t) as the output.
  - **Step 2** Determine the open loop system parameters from the closed loop model obtained in step 1, using the knowledge of the feedback  $(F(q^{-1}))$  and  $L(q^{-1})$ .
- Closed loop system:

$$y(t) = \bar{G}v(t) + \bar{H}e(t)$$

$$\bar{G} \triangleq (I + G_s F)^{-1} G_s L$$

$$\bar{H} \triangleq (I + G_s F)^{-1} H_s$$

- Estimate  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$  from y(t) and v(t) using a Prediction Error Method.
- From the estimated  $\hat{\bar{G}}$  and  $\hat{\bar{H}}$  form the estimates  $\hat{H}$  and  $\hat{G}$ .
- Identifiability conditions: Same as that for direct method.
- Same identifiability properties do not mean that direct and indirect methods give same results.
- Drawbacks of indirect method: Need to know v(t) and the regulators.

# Joint Input-Output Identification

• Regard y(t) and u(t) as outputs from a multivariable system driven by white noise

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{11}(q^{-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) & \mathcal{H}_{12}(q^{-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \mathcal{H}_{21}(q^{-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) & \mathcal{H}_{22}(q^{-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Innovations model:  $z(t) \triangleq [y(t) \ u(t)]^T$ 

$$z(t) = \mathcal{H}(q^{-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \bar{e}(t)$$
$$E\bar{e}(t)\bar{e}^{T}(s) = \Lambda_{\bar{e}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \delta_{t,s}$$

• Use PEM to identify  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\Lambda_{\bar{e}}$ .

## **Properties:**

- Same identifiability conditions as for the direct method.
- The system and the regulator can be identified.
- The spectral characteristics of v(t) can also be identified.
- The drawback is an increased computational complexity.

# Conclusions

- Feedback makes the identification procedure more difficult.
- Three parametric approaches (based on PEM) to identify systems operating in a closed loop:
  - 1. Direct approach.
  - 2. Indirect approach.
  - 3. Joint input-output approach.
- Identifiability under weak conditions.
- From a computational point of view, the direct approach is the simplest.